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Abstract

This study examines automatic detection of the words that will be unintelligible if they are spoken by Japanese speakers of English. In our previous study \cite{1}, 800 English utterances spoken by Japanese speakers, which contained 6,063 words, were presented to 173 American listeners and correct perception rate was obtained for each spoken word. By using the results, in this study, we define the words that are \textit{very unintelligible} through Japanese accented English pronunciation and also define the words that are \textit{rather unintelligible}. Then, by using Classification And Regression Tree (CART) with linguistic features and lexical features only, we examine automatic detection of these words. After that, we introduce an additional feature derived by considering phonological and phonotactic differences between Japanese and English. This additional feature is found to be very effective and our proposed method can detect \textit{very unintelligible} words and \textit{rather unintelligible} words automatically with F1-scores of 65.44 and 70.45 \%, respectively.
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1. Introduction

English is the only one common language for international communication. Statistics show that there are about 15,000 millions of users of English but only a quarter of them are native speakers, while the rest of them are speaking English with foreign accent \cite{2}. This clearly indicates that foreign accented English is more globally spoken and heard than American or British English. Even in the case of native speakers, their English sometimes becomes unintelligible to non-native listeners because speech intelligibility depends on various factors including the nature of listeners \cite{3}.

Although it has been a controversial issue which of native-sounding pronunciation and intelligible enough pronunciation should be the target of English pronunciation learning. Recently, the concept of World Englishes \cite{4} is more and more widely accepted by teachers, where it is claimed that, instead of mastering native-like pronunciation, foreign accented pronunciation is acceptable if it is intelligible enough. However, the pronunciation intelligibility is difficult to define because it depends on various factors e.g. the language background of listeners, the speaking context and the speaking proficiency of a speaker \cite{5} \cite{6}.

It is known that Japanese learners tend to have poorer speaking skill of English than learners in other Asian countries. One possible reason is there are big differences in the phonological and phonotactic system between Japanese and English. Therefore, when Japanese learners have to repeat after the English teacher, many of them don’t know well how to repeat. In other words, it is difficult for learners to know what kind of mispronunciations are more fatal to the perception of listeners.

Saz et al. \cite{7} proposed a Basic Identification of Confusable Contexts (BICC) technique to detect the minimal-pairs-based confusable context in a sentence, which might lead to a miscommunication. The subjective evaluation was done by letting subjects read the sentences modified by altering minimal pairs and rate how confusable each sentence is. However, this reflects a lexical and textual confusion perceived by reading sentences not by hearing spoken utterances.

To end this, in this study, by using the results of intelligibility listening tests \cite{1}, for given English sentences, we propose a method of automatically detecting the words that will be unintelligible to American listeners if those words are spoken with Japanese accent.

2. ERJ intelligibility database

Minematsu et al. \cite{1} conducted a large listening test, where 800 English utterances spoken by Japanese (JE) were presented to 173 American listeners. Those utterances were carefully selected from the ERJ (English Read by Japanese) speech database \cite{8}. The American listeners were those who had no experience talking with Japanese and asked to listen to the selected utterances and immediately repeat what they just heard. Then, their responses were transcribed word by word manually by experimenters. Each utterance was heard by 21 listeners on average and a total of 17,416 transcriptions were obtained. In addition to JE utterances, 100 English utterances spoken by speakers of general American English (AE) were used and their repetitions were transcribed in the same way.

Following that work, in this study, an expert phonetician, the third author, annotated all the JE and AE utterances with IPA symbols. The IPA transcription shows what is phonetically happening in each of the JE and AE utterances. It would be very interesting to observe the phonetic differences between a JE utterance and an AE one of the same sentence and analyze the IPA transcriptions of the JE utterances, which shows misperceptions, based on the phonetic differences. However, it is a pity that the sentences in the JE 800 utterances and those in the AE 100 ones are not overlapped well. So, the above analysis is currently difficult to realize, but the IPA transcriptions of the 900 utterances and the 17,416 word-by-word transcriptions, i.e. misperceptions, will be included in the next release of the ERJ.

Then in this paper, by using the results of the listening test, we firstly define the words in the read sentences that became \textit{very unintelligible} or \textit{rather unintelligible} due to Japanese accent. Next, we investigate automatic detection of those words only by using their lexical and linguistic features, that can be extracted without referring to actual utterances. If detection suc-
3. Detection of “will-be-unintelligible” words

3.1. Definition of “will-be-unintelligible” words

The ERJ contains the pronunciation proficiency score (1.0 to 5.0) for each speaker, which was rated by five American teachers of English. To focus on the listening test results of only typical Japanese speakers, we removed the data of too poor speakers (<2.5) and those of too good speakers (>4.0). The resulting data had 756 utterances and 5,754 words in total.

As described in Section 2, each spoken word was heard by 21 American listeners on average and the correct perception rate was obtained for each. In this study, to describe the word perception qualitatively, the words whose perception rate is less than 0.1 are defined as very unintelligible due to Japanese accent and the words whose rate is less than 0.3 are defined as rather unintelligible. The occupancies of very unintelligible and rather unintelligible words were 18.9% and 34.2%, respectively. The aim of this study is automatic detection of these words by using only lexical and linguistic features.

3.2. Preparation of features for automatic detection

From preliminary experiments, we found two things. 1) Since we wanted a binary (intelligible/unintelligible) classifier of input data, we firstly trained CART as binary classifier but results were not good. Then, we trained CART as predictor of perception rate of each word and, comparing the output to a threshold, binary classification was made possible. We found this strategy to be effective. 2) Since we wanted to train CART distinctively between intelligible words and unintelligible words, we intentionally removed words of intermediate level (0.4 to 0.6) of perception rate only from training data. This removal was effective although those data were actually included in testing data.

The features used for CART-based detection were prepared by using the CMU pronunciation dictionary and the n-gram language models trained with 15 millions words from the OANC text corpus [9]. Table 1 shows these features that are categorized into 3 groups; lexical, linguistic and other features. The feature [C], which is the maximum number of consecutive consonants in the word, is derived by considering Japanese pronunciation habits of English that is caused by phonological and phonotactic differences between the two languages. The smallest unit of speech production in Japanese is called mora, which has the form of either CV or V. However, consecutive consonants, with the form of CCV or CCCV, are very common in English. Japanese speakers sometimes insert an additional vowel after a consonant, which increases the number of syllables in that word and is expected to decrease the intelligibility of that word easily, e.g. the word ‘sky’ (S-K-A-Y) is often pronounced as (S-UH-K-AY), where additional UH vowel is added.

3.3. Experimental results

We have three kinds of features; [A], [B], and [C] and have two levels of “will-be-unintelligible” words; very unintelligible and rather unintelligible. Table 2 shows the results of precisions, recalls, and F1-scores of 10 cross-validation experiments.

By using only either lexical [A] or linguistic [B] features, each method has low F1-scores, while combination of [A] and [B] can increase the F1-score significantly to 53.39% and 63.92% for very and rather unintelligible words, respectively. An interesting finding is that, when adding the last feature, the maximum number of consecutive consonants, the F1-score is improved significantly again from 53.39% to 65.44% and from 63.92% to 70.45% for each case.

The precisions in the table claim that almost 75% of the words that were identified as very or rather unintelligible are correctly detected. As described in Section 3.1, the occupancies of very and rather unintelligible words were 18.9% and 34.2%, which correspond to the precisions when detecting unintelligible words randomly. Considering these facts, although no acoustic observation is used in our proposed method, it can detect “will-be-unintelligible” words very effectively.

However, we do not claim at all that acoustic observation is ineffective. We’re very interested in improving the detection performance by using acoustic and phonetic information. For that, we now continue annotating additional utterances to get IPA transcriptions of the sentence utterances of AE to get complete overlap between JE and AE. With these transcriptions, we can add IPA-based phonetic features to improve the detection performance. We’re also interested in replacing manual IPA-based features with features obtained automatically by ASR.

4. Conclusions

We investigated the possibility of automatic detection of unintelligible words that would be misrecognized by native listeners due to Japanese accent. The proposed method can automatically and effectively detect unintelligible words even using only the information extracted from text, not using any acoustic information. In the future, acoustic and phonetic information will be used for performance improvement.
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